

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,897	08/26/2003	Benoit Reiss	03-4-223	5624
7590 05/12/2005			EXAMINER	
Carlo S. Bessone			HAN, JASON	
OSRAM SYLV	'ANIA INC.		<u></u>	
100 Endicott Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Danvers, MA 01923			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2009	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Kle
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/649,897	REISS, BENOIT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI MANUAL DATE AND COMMISSION OF THE PARTY O	Jason M. Han	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	August 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli onty documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
	·	
Attachment(s)	_	·
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) iil Date
B) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the grammatical error in lines 9-10: "for a vehicle a housing". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. Page 6, Lines 12-14: Figure 4 contrasts with the disclosure, whereby the emitted beam 24 is no shown to be 60 degrees below the beam axis 26;

    Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should remain consistent with reference numerals [e.g., (1...2) or (i...ii)]. Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/649,897

Art Unit: 2875

4. Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-10 of copending Application No. 10/649,894 in view of VanRiper et al. (U.S. Patent 4891625).

Claims 1-10 of 10/649,894 set forth the invention substantially as claimed except for use as a CHMSL.

VanRiper teaches a combination center high mounted stop lamp (CHMSL) and cargo lamp.

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Application No. 10/649,894 to incorporate the CHMSL of VanRiper in order to provide a "single unit [that] can provide a center high mounted stop lamp visible to a following vehicle and also provide the added feature of cargo area illumination [see Column 1, Lines 32-35 of VanRiper]."

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art pertinent to the current application, but are not considered exhaustive:

US Patent 4896136 to Hotovy;

US Patent 4935665 to Murata:

US Patent 5211466 to Jarocki et al;

US Patent 5241457 to Sasajima et al;

Page 3

US Patent 5839231 to Gebhart et al;

US Patent 6015223 to Kidd et al;

US Patent 6561689 to Kidd et al;

US Patent 6773154 to Desai;

Application/Control Number: 10/649,897

Art Unit: 2875

US Patent 6827475 to Vetorino et al;

US Patent 6851839 to Malone et al.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH (5/4/2005)

Stephen Husar
Primary Examiner